# Keith R. Parsons – CWNE #3

IT Professional Wi-Fi Trek 2015 #wifitrek



### Keith R. Parsons

Managing Director Wireless LAN Professionals, Inc.

MBA with emphasis in Quantitative Analysis 65+ Certifications - CWNE #3

Designed Wi-Fi for over 3,000 Classrooms

15 years experience in Wireless LANs

Define, Design, Implement, Validate, Troubleshoot

@KeithRParsons on Twitter
<u>http://WLANPros.com</u>
<u>keith@WLANPros.com</u>







IT Professional Wi-Fi Trek 2015 #wifitrek



### Just because it works...

### Doesn't mean it works...



#### MCS Index - 802.11n and 802.11ac

802.lln 802.llac

HT	VHT	ИТ			20MHz		40MHz		80MHz		<b>IGOMHZ</b>	
MCS	MCS	Spatial			Data Rate	Data Rate						
Index	Index	Streams	Modulation	Coding	No SGI	SGI	No SGI	SGI	No SGI	SGI	No SGI	SGI
0	0	1	BPSK	1/2	6.5	7.2	13.5	15	29.3	32.5	58.5	65
1	1	1	QPSK	1/2	B	14.4	27	30	58.5	65	117	130
2	2	1	QPSK	3/4	19.5	21.7	40.5	45	87.8	97.5	175.5	195
3	3	1	KG-QAM	1/2	26	28.9	54	60	17	130	234	260
4	4	1	K-QAM	3/4	39	43.3	81	90	175.5	195	351	390
5	5	1	64-QAM	2/3	52	57.8	108	120	234	260	468	520
6	6	1	64-QAM	3/4	58.5	65	1215	135	263.3	292.5	526.5	585
7	7	1	64-QAM	5/6	65	72.2	135	150	292.5	325	585	650
	8	1	256-QAM	3/4	78	86.7	162	180	351	390	702	780
	9	l.	256-QAM	5/6	n/a	n/a	180	200	390	433.3	780	866.7
8	0	2	BPSK	1/2	B	14.4	27	30	58.5	65	117	130
9	1	2	QPSK	1/2	26	28.9	54	60	17	130	234	260
Ю	2	2	QPSK	3/4	39	43.3	81	90	175.5	195	351	390
l.	3	2	KG-QAM	1/2	52	57.8	108	120	234	260	468	520
12	4	2	KG-QAM	3/4	78	86.7	162	180	351	390	702	780
В	5	2	64-QAM	2/3	104	15.6	216	240	468	520	936	1040
14	6	2	64-QAM	3/4	117	130.3	243	270	526.5	585	1053	170
15	7	2	64-QAM	5/6	130	144.4	270	300	585	650	170	1300
	8	2	256-QAM	3/4	156	173.3	324	360	702	780	1404	1560
	9	2	256-QAM	5/6	n/a	n/a	360	400	780	866.7	1560	1733.3

### 7 Myths about Wireless LANs

Simple & Easy - Only Need Ratios

Wi-Fi scales easily - "It works at home!"

Wi-Fi is like Ethernet

Wi-Fi is like Cellular

More Access Points equals More Capacity

Wireless LANs must support legacy clients

All Access Points are basically the same...



### Myth #1 - Simple & Easy

#### **Ratios Rule!**

One Access Point Every \_\_\_\_\_

- 2,000 Square Feet
- 300 Attendees
- Classroom

#### Simple - Enticing - Sales Driven



## **#1 - Reality**

Engineering for Radio Frequency and 802.11 Protocols is heavily dependent on complex mathematics

Meeting specific requirements far more important than mere ratios can express



### **Engineering Examples**

- Structural Engineering
- Sound Engineering
- Civil Engineering
- Materials Engineering
- All highly dependent on complex math, equations, formulas and advanced software





### **WLAN Engineering**

 Also highly dependent on complex math, formulas, and advanced software to Model Radio Frequencies

Characteristic Impedance of Free Space  

$$\eta_{o} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_{o}}{\epsilon_{o}}} = 120\pi\Omega = 377\Omega$$
where:  $\mu_{o} = \text{free space permeability}$   
 $= 4.0\pi \times 10^{-7}(\text{H/m})$   
 $\epsilon_{o} = \text{free space permitivity}$   
 $= \left(\frac{10^{-9}}{36\pi}\right)(\text{F/m})$   
 $c = \sqrt{\mu_{o}}\epsilon_{o} = \text{propagation velocity} = 2.997925 \times 10^{8} \text{ m}$   
 $(\cong 3 \times 10^{8} \text{ m/s})$   
In free space the wavelength is:  
 $\lambda = \frac{c}{f}$   
For a nonmagnetic dielectric:  
 $\lambda_{d} = \frac{c}{f\sqrt{\epsilon_{r}}} = \frac{\lambda_{o}}{\sqrt{\epsilon_{r}}}$   
where:  $\epsilon_{r}$  is relative dielectric from Table 1.1





### Myth #2 - Wi-Fi is Scalable

- It works at home...
- Setting up Wi-Fi at home is easy and simple... so why so difficult to scale up to provide same service at school?





Scale requires different level of engineering







### Myth #3 - Wi-Fi is like Ethernet

- Ethernet Uses CSMA/CD Collision Detection
- Ethernet NICs can talk and listen simultaneously
- Collisions happen during Preamble
- If collision, then random back-off
- Then Retransmit





## **#3 - Reality**

- 802.11 uses CSMA/CA
- NICs cannot talk and listen
- No Collision Detection
- Random back-off BEFORE EVERY Tx
- If collision, don't know until after entire payload Tx (lack of ACK)
- Contention/Collisions with all others on same frequency (above CCA thresholds)





### Myth #4 - Wi-Fi is like Cellular

- Cellular the tower controls client connections and power settings
- Cellular uses technologies to share limited frequencies
- Cellular uses Licensed Frequencies (\$\$)
- Cellular frequencies have pretty good penetration and distance





## **#4 - Reality**

- Wi-Fi and 802.11 Protocols have the Client choose the Access Point to join
- Clients choose their Tx power
- Limited frequencies (only 3 in 2.4GHz)
- Shared Collision/Contention domains
- Frequencies limited in penetration and distance
- Frequencies are Unlicensed





### Myth #5 - More Access Points = More Capacity

- If you need more capacity add more Access Points
- If you need more coverage add more Access Points
- Access Points are like Switch Ports... Right?





## **#5 - Reality**

- All client devices and all Access Points on the same frequency SHARE the limited resource of frequency together
- Adding more AP's in the same frequency that can see each other actually lowers capacity







#### Myth #6 - WLANs must support Legacy Devices

- "But we have all these older 802.11b clients we need to support."
- "We \*have to\* support all the 2.4GHz only devices."
- "Don't you understand... that is the scanners we have - make it work."



## **#6 - Reality**



- 802.11 protocols ARE backwards compatible supporting legacy devices is possible
- Huge cost in throughput and efficiency in order to do so
- Allowing slow devices on WLAN is very expensive and hugely inefficient
- You would NEVER do this with wired infrastructure
- Think about the "Sunk Cost Fallacy"



## Myth #7 - All Access Points are basically the Same



- "Why spend hundreds of dollars on an Access Point - I picked up my home WAP Router at Best Buy for under \$100"
- "Save money on the Access Points by going with the cheaper version"





## **#7 - Reality**



- Extreme differences between various vendor solutions
- Cost of Goods Sold Example
- Requirements for Home, Small Office and high-density schools very different
- Match solutions to meet your requirements
- Don't "Drag Race School Busses"









# How does newer cable support higher speeds?







### How to Properly Engineer WLANs

- Define specific and detailed requirements
- Design engineer to meet requirements within constraints using complex math & advanced tools
- Deploy Test Wired Network, Install AP's and Configure Management
- Validate Verify WLAN meets all requirements
- Note: Just like CatX cable, it's all about meeting specs!



## Define

- Don't succumb to enticing simplicity of mere ratios NON-Engineered Solution
- Spend time and energy to gather detailed and specific requirements correctly
- Think and plan for future
- Don't forget the constraints
- Entire WLAN success hinges on this step!





### **Know Your Devices**



- Device Types
- Device Capabilities
- App Needs
- Active vs Passive
- Associated vs High Data Usage





### **Define Realistic Requirements**

- "All students to use Wi-Fi simultaneously"
- "We need Wi-Fi coverage everywhere"
- Compare with Cafeterias, Recess, Teacher Breaks, AM/PM Students, etc.



## Design

- **Iterative** process of meeting requirements while staying within defined constraints
- Sometimes can't get there from here
- Based on RF fundamentals and 802.11 Protocols
- Use explicit and complex math with professional software and tools
- Calculate base assumptions on device types, counts and app traffic flows
- Achieving Frequency Reuse is paramount and trumps all else
- Use on-site measurements to increase predictive accuracy



## Constraints

- Budget
- RF Characteristics of buildings & walls
- 802.11 Protocol Issues
- Aesthetics
- Cabling Accessibility & Distances
- Every requirement and constraint has a trade-off!



## Deploy

- Easiest of all steps
- Test wired side of network BEFORE installing each and every Access Point
- Lots of moving parts in addition to RF and 802.11 pieces



## Validate

- Most Important Step
- Don't test Cat6 cable on the spool... only after installed
- How else can you know if invisible RF will meet your design requirements?
- This MUST be included in every WLAN installation
- Make sure to include in your bids and RFPs

• Adjust when necessary



IT Professional Wi-Fi Trek 2015 #wifitrek



## **Final Myth**

- K-12 merely needs One Access Point per Classroom
- Don't be fooled by this sales technique
- It is NOT engineering merely an easy way to sell
- Simple ratios are NOT a way to engineer a correct WLAN infrastructure that meets your requirements AND saves schools funds



## Final - Reality

- K-12 needs a properly ENGINEERED Wireless LAN that meets all design requirements within defined constraints
- No more... No less...
- Just because it 'works' does NOT make it the proper solution!
- Make sure your vendor/VAR will spend the time and effort necessary to:

Define, Design, Deploy and especially Validate your WLAN solution



## Conclusions

Create best possible solution to your schools Wi-Fi needs by:

- Spend time to define specific requirements
- Use math, software and tools to design to meet all requirements
- Validate to confirm/verify WLAN meets requirements
- Most efficient use of funds is to engineer a proper solution



### Recommendations

- Coverage is Easy Getting rid of CCI is difficult
- Stop purchasing any 2.4GHz only devices Focus on 5GHz deployments
- Costs per AP include installation, cabling, PoE switch port, licensing & more
- Shorthand provide minimum 4-radio coverage

(2-3 in 2.4GHz and 2 in 5GHz at -67dBm or better)

• Plan and budget for faster refreshes of WLAN infrastructure



### Questions





### Resources

http://WLANPros.com

http://revolutionwifi.net

Twitter

WLAN Blogs

**CWNP Program & Training** 

Vendor Websites & Conferences

